

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/851,121	Applicant(s) WATKINS ET AL.
	Examiner Christopher A. Revak	Art Unit 2131

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(3) _____.

(2) Barbara Benoit.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2005**Time:** 9am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


5/26/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the applicant's claim language was in the form of intended use and the examiner suggested modifying the language so the allowable feature would be positively recited. In addition, it was determined by the examiner that a 101 issue existed in the claims for reciting non-statutory language. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to compact prosecution..